

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.usptb.gov

Paper No. 9

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-7013

COPY MAILED

NOV 1 5 2004

In re Application of Ronald B. Gartenhaus Application No. 09/709,131 Filed: November 10, 2000 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

Attorney Docket No. 9598-30U1

This is a decision on the petition, filed September 17, 2004, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed February 11, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month or thirty (30) days (whichever is later). No extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 7, 2002.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Gary D. Colby appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. However, if Mr. Colby desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. Alll future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While it is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. All other inquiries regarding this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1642 for consideration of the response filed September 17, 2004.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

cc: GARY D. COLBY

DUANE MORRIS LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396